male	STATES BANKRUPTUT CO
Honorable Mike K. Nakagawa United States Bankruptcy Judge	DIVINICT OF NEWYOR

**Entered on Docket** February 26, 2024

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

11

12

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

8 Case No.: 23-15097-MKN In re: 9 Chapter 7 KEVIN JULIAN RIZZI,

Debtor.

KEVIN JULIAN RIZZI, 13

Plaintiff, 14

 $15 \|_{V}$ 

CHRISTOPHER PALMS, LLC; KAREN CHRISTOPHER, 17

Defendants.

Adv. Proc. No. 23-01160-mkn

Date: February 21, 2024

Time: 9:30 a.m.

## ORDER ON MOTION TO DISMISS COMPLAINT FOR ADVERSARY PROCEEDING WITH PREJUDICE<sup>1</sup>

On February 21, 2024, the court heard the Motion to Dismiss Complaint for Adversary Proceeding With Prejudice brought in the above-captioned adversary proceeding ("Adversary Dismissal Motion"). (AECF No. 7). The appearances of counsel were noted on the record. After arguments were presented, the matter was taken under submission.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In this Order, all references to "ECF No." are to the number assigned to the documents filed in the above-captioned bankruptcy case as they appear on the docket maintained by the clerk of court. All references of "AECF No." are to the documents filed in the above-captioned 27 adversary proceeding. All references to "Section" or "§§ 101-1532" are to the provisions of the Bankruptcy Code. All references to "FRBP" shall be to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy 28 Procedure.

**BACKGROUND** 

1

2

8

13

15

16

28

On November 17, 2023, Kevin Julian Rizzi, in pro se ("Debtor"), filed a "skeleton" voluntary Chapter 7 petition ("Petition"). (ECF No. 1). The case was assigned for 4 administration to Chapter 7 panel trustee Lenard E. Schwartzer ("Trustee"). On the same date, a 5 Notice of Incomplete and/or Deficient Filing ("Deficient Filing Notice") was entered and served 6 upon the Debtor. (ECF Nos. 6 and 9). The Deficient Filing Notice informed the Debtor of the numerous schedules, statements, and certifications that were missing from the Petition.

On December 1, 2023, Debtor filed a variety of materials, including his schedules of 9 assets and liabilities ("Schedules") and a "Request for Emergency Assistance" seeking a variety 10 of relief against various parties. (ECF Nos. 19 and 20). Absent from the materials is the Statement of Financial Affairs for Individuals Filing for Bankruptcy ("SOFA") required by 12 FRBP 1007(b) and (c).

On December 6, 2023, Debtor's proposed order granting his Request for Emergency 14 Assistance was denied by the court inasmuch as the court lacks jurisdiction to provide or address the relief requested. (ECF No. 24).

On December 18, 2023, Debtor also commenced the above-captioned adversary proceeding by filing a "Complaint for Adversary Proceeding; Jury Trial Demand" ("Adversary 18 Complaint"). It names as defendants Christopher Palms, LLC ("Christopher Palms") as well as 19 the Debtor's mother, Karen Christopher. Paragraph 1 of the Adversary Complaint identifies the 20 purpose of the action as arising from "an investment agreement entered" between the Debtor and Christopher Palms with respect to certain real property located in Gilroy, California ("California 22 Property"). The same paragraph alleges in pertinent part that "On October 16, 2022, Karen Christopher...requested the services of Rizzi's company, Fairview Restorations Inc., for 24 extensive renovation work on" the California Property. Paragraph 2 of the Adversary Complaint 25 under the heading "First Cause of Action - Breach of Contract," alleges that "On January 17, 26 2023,...Karen requested Rizzi not to file a public lien in exchange for covering all of Rizzi's expenses" and "is in breach of contract." Paragraph 4 under the heading "Second Cause of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Hereafter, both defendants are referenced jointly as "Christopher Palms."

1 Action - Validity or Priority of Liens" alleges in pertinent part that "On January 25, 2023," the 2 California Property "was granted to the Plaintiff through a trust in which he is a beneficiary..." 3 Based on these two alleged causes of action, the prayer of the Adversary Complaint seeks 4 recovery of monetary damages, pre-judgment interest, exemplary damages, and losses of \$1.8 5 million. On December 19, 2023, Christopher Palms filed a motion for relief from stay ("MRAS") 6 7 seeking to terminate the automatic stay so that it could proceed against the California Property; 8 the motion was noticed to be heard on January 24, 2024. (ECF Nos. 29, 30, and 31). 9 On January 4, 2024, the Trustee's Motion to Dismiss Case Pursuant to Bankruptcy Code 10 | § 343 and 521 ("Case Dismissal Motion") was filed, and noticed to be heard on February 8, 2024. (ECF Nos. 41 and 42). 11 On January 17, 2024, Debtor filed a Motion for Contempt ("Contempt Motion") seeking 12 13 various sanctions against the Trustee and Christopher Palms, in addition to removal of the 14 Trustee from further administration of the Chapter 7 case. (ECF Nos. 56). The Contempt 15 Motion was noticed to be heard on February 14, 2024. (ECF Nos. 60). 16 On January 17, 2024, Christopher Palms filed the instant Adversary Dismissal Motion under FRBP 7012(b)(6), seeking to dismiss the Adversary Complaint for failure to state a claim 18 for which relief may be granted. (AECF No. 7). The Adversary Dismissal Motion was noticed to be heard on February 21, 2024. (AECF No. 8). On January 18, 2024, a summons was issued, setting an initial scheduling conference to 20 21 be held in the Adversary Proceeding on April 25, 2024. (AECF No. 3). 22 On January 19, 2024, Debtor filed an opposition to the Case Dismissal Motion. (ECF No. 66). 23 24 On February 1, 2024, the Trustee filed a response to the Contempt Motion as well as a 25 reply in support of the Case Dismissal Motion. (ECF Nos. 72 and 74). On the same date, Christopher Palms filed a joinder in the Trustee's response to the Contempt Motion. (ECF No. 27 | 76).

28

3

1

4

7

8

10 11

12 13 40).

14

15

23

24

25

On February 8, 2024, Debtor filed a "Motion to Convert Chapter 7 to Chapter 13 Pursuant to 11 U.S. Code §706(A," as well as another notice of change of address. (ECF Nos. 78 and 80). Debtor did not obtain a hearing date or notice a hearing on his motion to convert.

On February 14, 2024, hearings were held on the Case Dismissal Motion filed by the Trustee, the Contempt Motion filed by the Debtors, and the MRAS filed by Christopher Palms. 6 After all parties were afforded opportunity to present oral argument, all three matters were taken under submission.

On February 14, 2024, Debtor filed a Response to Motion to Dismiss Filed Out of Time<sup>3</sup> in opposition to the Adversary Dismissal Motion. (AECF No. 15).

On February 16, 2024, Christopher Palms filed a reply in support of the Adversary Dismissal Motion. (AECF No. 27).

On February 21, 2024, Debtor filed a Response to Defendant's Response. (AECF No.

## **DISCUSSION**

Contemporaneously herewith, an order has been entered granting the Case Dismissal 16 Motion brought by the Trustee. That order is incorporated by reference as if fully stated herein. 17 Among the many reasons requiring dismissal of the Chapter 7 case is that the Debtor is 18 attempting to assert claims and causes of action that arose before he commenced the bankruptcy 19 case, and which claims and causes of action are property of the Chapter 7 estate. Because 20 property of the Chapter 7 estate is controlled by the Trustee, Debtor has no authority to assert 21 pre-bankruptcy claims and causes of action without consent of the Trustee or permission from 22 the court. The two causes of action alleged by the Debtor in the Adversary Complaint are based on conduct occurring well before the November 17, 2023, bankruptcy commencement date, see

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> In responding to the Adversary Dismissal Motion, Debtor argues that the MRAS filed by Christopher Palms on December 19, 2023, was accompanied by a declaration containing perjured testimony. In support of that argument, Debtor cites the following as "legal authority: NCJ Number 74647 Journal American Criminal Law Review Volume: 18 Issue: 2 Dated: (Fall 1980) Pages 263-273 Author(s) T E Kehoe Date Published 1980". That legal authority, however. has no bearing on whether the Adversary Complaint states a claim for which relief may be granted under FRBP 7012(b)(6).

l discussion at 2-3, supra, and are property of the bankruptcy estate. Debtor has obtained neither 2 consent from the Trustee nor court authorization to pursue such claims. Because he has no 3 authority to pursue any such claims, Debtor fails to state claims for which relief may be granted. 4 Absent such authorization, any amendment to the Adversary Complaint would be futile.

5

13

19

22

23

24

25

26

27

In addition to the Debtor's lack of authority in this bankruptcy proceeding to pursue the 6 matters asserted in the Adversary Complaint, there is no question that the alleged transaction and 7 occurrence took place in California rather than Nevada. There is no question that the factual 8 allegations will be contested,<sup>4</sup> that the dispute is based on real property located in California, that 9 the named defendants reside in California, and that California law will apply to the claims that 10 the Debtor attempts to assert in the Adversary Complaint.<sup>5</sup> Thus, even if the Trustee decided to 11 pursue the matters underlying the Adversary Complaint, it is unlikely that a breach of contract or 12 declaratory relief action could or would be brought in Nevada, much less in a bankruptcy court.

In its Adversary Dismissal Motion, Christopher Palms requests that relief be granted 14 "with prejudice." As discussed above, however, this court is not adjudicating the merits of any 15 purported claims asserted by the Debtor. Instead, this court only concludes that the Debtor does 16 not have standing to pursue the claims and that the Adversary Complaint therefore does not state 17 a claim for which relief may be granted. If a party with standing later pursues the same claims, 18 the proper tribunal can address the merits of the claims at that time.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss Complaint for Adversary Proceeding With Prejudice, brought by defendants Christopher Palms, LLC, and Karen Christopher, Adversary Docket No. 7, be, and the same hereby is, **GRANTED WITHOUT** LEAVE TO AMEND AS PROVIDED IN THIS ORDER.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Debtor asserts that Christopher Palms has submitted perjured testimony. See discussion at note 3, supra. At the hearing on the Adversary Dismissal Motion, Debtor made the same suggestion. Whether the same assertion would be made by the defendants against the Debtor or his witnesses is unknown.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Under FRBP 7001(2), an adversary proceeding can be brought to "determine the validity, priority, or extent of a lien or other interest in property," but such determinations are based on the law applicable in the jurisdiction creating the asserted property interest. In the instant case, California law will apply.

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the subject motion is granted solely with respect to 2 the above-captioned adversary proceeding, and the court makes no determination as to the 3 substantive merits, if any, of the claims, if any, asserted in the above-captioned adversary 4 proceeding. Thus, dismissal of the adversary proceeding therefore is without prejudice as to the 5 substantive merits of the claims asserted in the above-captioned adversary proceeding. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the initial scheduling conference set for April 25, 6 7 2024, in this adversary proceeding is **VACATED**. 8 9 Copies sent via CM/ECF ELECTRONIC FILING 10 Copies sent via BNC to: KEVIN JULIAN RIZZI 5126 S. JONES BLVD., #101 12 | LAS VEGAS, NV 89118 13 KEVIN JULIAN RIZZI 3289 UMBRIA GARDENS AVE LAS VEGAS, NV 89141 15 KEVIN JULIAN RIZZI 16 PO BOX 31226 LAS VEGAS, NV 89173 LENARD E SCHWARTZER, CHAPTER 7 TRUSTEE 6655 WEST SAHARA AVENUE, SUITE B200-107 LAS VEGAS, NV 89147 20 21 ### 22 23 24 25 26 27 28